

## EXHIBIT G

## PCL Recovery Plan Review – Section 1

### Mobilization of Machinery

PCL have not indicated on the two sheets supplied which is Section 1 and Section 2 so we have assumed the sheet placed with Section 1 to be Section 1 and same for Section 2

Section 1 – On review of the contents of the 'At Site' we have noted the following:

- Excavators - 2 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*
- Dozers - 3 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*
- Graders - 3 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*
- Trucks – 13 – incorrect there is *only 3 on site*
- Compactors – 5 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*
- Water tankers – 8 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*
- PTR Roller – 1 – incorrect there is *nothing on site*
- Bitumen Distributer – 1 – incorrect there is *nothing on site*
- Diesel Tanker – 1 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*
- Total Station – 2 – incorrect there is *only 1 on site*

In summary the total of individual pieces of plant on site as noted in the Mobilization of Machinery is as follows:

PCL Quantity	=	39 plant items
--------------	---	----------------

Actual Quantity	=	11 plant items or 28.2% of what has been detailed
-----------------	---	---

In Summary – Section 1 'Mobilization of Machinery' list does not indicate any dates of when machinery will be mobilized to site or sequence of plant to site and therefore we are unable to make an assessment on productivity. Of the major plant items assessed PCL have in actual *only 11 items of plant in this section* with according to the plant list 'as per program' a total of 113 items of plant will be required to meet the recovery program. PCL will need to *mobilize a further 102 items of major plant* before the middle of December as noted in the meeting held on the 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2009. Of these major plant items PCL have indicated mobilization of a 'crusher' with no details of capacity or mobilization date and again we are unable to make any assessment for production of aggregates or chip for sealing activities.

All in all PCL will need to mobilize a *total of 194 items of major plant* to both sections over a period of 20 weeks (February 2010 as per Section 2 Plant List) inclusive of 'crusher plant' mobilization when to date, 24 weeks into the project *only 32 major plant items* have been mobilized to site. PCL have not offered any methodology as to how they will mobilize this volume of plant to site; details of crusher capacity, identification and testing of quarry site for crusher and where and how they will store/fuel/maintain this volume of equipment.

## Planning Quantities and Amounts – Cash Flow

Section 1 – Planning Quantity sheets have been compiled from October, 2009 to October, 2010 which is incorrect as the revised Recovery Program submitted indicates a completion date of the 28<sup>th</sup> December, 2010. PCL have further spread quantities and values over a greater range than that indicated on the revised program started with embankment activity commencing nearly 6 weeks earlier; Sub Grade commencing 8 weeks earlier; sub base 12 weeks earlier; base course 16 weeks earlier and prime/first seal coat similar at 16 weeks earlier. The attached cash flow chart indicates the correct spread of quantities in accordance with the revised program works.

## Productivity

PCL have not offered any methodology as to how they will perform the work with the additional plant as indicated on the Mobilization of Machinery. There is no mention other than the program as to how they win raw material to complete the road construction in the timeframe as submitted in the Recovery Plan, no mention or any details on size of crushing plants or where they will set up crushing plants and no mention as to how they will maintain equipment in a suitable working condition. Likewise there is no indication that PCL have considered where they will house additional staff or details of additional facilities for construction staff and further there is no mention as to where all the equipment will be located on site as the current demined areas set aside for camp facilities are far less in size than what would be now required under the Recovery Plan.

Section 1 has not been assessed as there are insufficient details provided in the Recovery Plan. However it would be reasonable to assume that as the plant quantities are almost identical to Section 2 plant list then again there would be a shortfall of equipment to complete the works. ***As stated in Section 2 Plant Assessment Sheet the corresponding value of these activities would be 37.9% of the Contract Sum with little or no resources to complete the remaining 62.1% of the works by value.***

Finally it is noted that as of today PCL have not identified and potential borrow pits or crushed aggregate sites or have they submitted any test data indicating conformance of materials.

## Deployment of Manpower

Recovery Plan 'Deployment of Manpower' combines both Section 1 & 2 manpower levels so it is assumed that the labor will be divided equally to each section as the previous submission via letter dated 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2009 (ref: PCL/JN/LBG/2009/32) indicated the same amount of manpower to each section



of the works. The previous combined total (8<sup>th</sup> July, 2009) was a *level of 480* with the *Recovery Plan total now at 410 a reduction by 70.*

Comparison from the initial submission and Recovery Plan is as follows:

**Table Previous Manpower versus Recovery Plan**

	<i>Previous Qty</i>		<i>Total Section 1 &amp; 2</i>	<i>Recovery Plan Section 1 &amp; 2</i>
	<i>Section 1</i>	<i>Section 2</i>		
Site Engineer	4	4	8	10
Jnr Engineer/Skilled Supervisor	4	4	8	10
AGM (Mechanical)	0	0	0	1
Mechanical Engineer	0	0	0	1
Supervisors	16	16	32	40
Operators	25	25	50	60
Drivers	45	45	90	90
Surveyor	1	1	2	4
Hume Pipe Labor	10	10	20	10
Laborer	50	50	100	50
Skilled Workers	20	20	40	20
Road Marking	5	5	10	6
Sign Board Works	5	5	10	6
Safety	10	10	20	10
Security	30	30	60	60
	225	225	450	378

As noted in the table above PCL will have a fewer manpower deployment from the original deployment of manpower of their submission on the 8<sup>th</sup> July, 2009 by 72 staff.

Security will remain at the same level as the previous manpower levels even though that plant and Equipment has been increased.

Safety personnel have been reduced by 50%

Operators have been increased by 10 additional which is not consistent with Mobilization of Machinery. PCL have indicated 64 trucks for each Section bringing a total of 128 trucks by two (2) shifts = 256 drivers. Drivers have not been increased and even if you add both together there is still insufficient without taking into consideration other plant and equipment.

Mechanical Engineer/AGM (Mechanical) there is no mechanics to assist with repairs or general maintenance of plant and equipment.

Supervisors have been increased from 8 to 10 which is not consistent with running two shifts. This would be insufficient noting the compressed program included with the Recovery Plan.

There is no laboratory staff or Materials Engineers to undertake testing of source materials, frequency testing for specification conformance and quality control.

## Section 2 Plant Assessment Sheet

[illegible]

	1000	2500	7500	10000	17500	20000	20000	20000	30000	17100
Base										
Day shift Qty	38	96	288	254	444	507	507	507	761	435
Loaders	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Trucks	2	2	4	4	8	8	8	8	14	8
PTR	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dozer in borrow pit	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Graders	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Rollers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Water trucks	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Night shift Qty				130	229	262	262	262	392	223
Loaders				1	1	1	1	1	1	1
PTR				1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Trucks				2	4	4	4	4	6	4
Dozers										
Graders				1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Rollers				1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Water trucks				2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Rotavators				2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Chip		140	329	938	1365	1743	1890	1890	2135	2800
Day shift only		5	13	36	53	67	73	73	82	108
Loaders		1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
PTR		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Trucks		1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
Spreader		1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Spray truck prime		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Spray truck seal		1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Power brooms		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

P/day	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEP	OCT
Excavators		1	2	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	4	1
Loaders					2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
PTR					2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Trucks		1	6	15	15	20	31	37	42	44	51	43	14
Dozers		2	3	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	5	2
Graders		1	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	4	2
Rollers		1	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	4	2
Water trucks		1	2	4	6	7	7	7	7	7	8	6	4
Rotavators				2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Power brooms					2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

	Quantity Required	Recovery Plan	75% Availability	Comments
Excavators	6	12	8	Insufficient
Loaders	3	4	4	Adequate
PTR	3	2	4	Insufficient
Trucks	51	64	68	Insufficient
Dozers	6	7	8	Insufficient
Graders	6	7	8	Insufficient
Rollers	6	7	8	Insufficient
Water trucks	8	10	11	Insufficient
Rotavators	4	4	5	Insufficient
Power brooms	2	2	3	Insufficient

Trucks rated at 12bcm, 1 load per hour on 10km lead

1 load per 2 hours on 20km lead for base trucks.

Truck numbers include chip spreaders

One month = 26 working days

One shift = 10 worked hours

## EXHIBIT H



## INFORMATION FORM (4B)

## Financial Summary

Financial Information in (US \$ equivalent in 000s)	Actual: Previous Five Years				
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008
	USD	USD	USD	USD	USD
Gross Revenue	112,243.01	175,981.67	146,215.49	165,150.86	253,628.65
Earnings before interest and taxes	12,471.12	172,436.37	168,776.01	22,177.59	269,850.84
Interest	5,891.59	7,927.25	12,209.19	13,880.98	28,649.51
Total Assets	173,227.93	204,288.37	267,158.57	319,084.86	360,091.69
Total Liabilities	151,801.24	176,896.39	236,344.52	273,475.59	300,851.71
Current Assets	108,629.78	125,966.86	159,837.77	187,025.97	210,068.73
Current Liabilities	88,254.25	94,490.93	126,498.36	140,060.38	124,512.63

For, PROGRESSIVE CONSTRUCTIONS LTD.

*K. Chandan Reddy*

AUTHORISED SIGNATORY